Claim 1 (Amended). A computer-implemented method comprising:

inputting a training set including a plurality of images and a corresponding plurality

of aesthetic scores for the images;

training a classifier to provide aesthetic scores based on the training set; and, outputting the classifier trained to provide aesthetic scores.

Claim 19 (Amended).

A ma¢hine-readable medium having instructions stored

thereon for execution by a processor to perform a method comprising:

inputting a training set including a plurality of images and a corresponding plurality of

aesthetic scores for the images;

training a classifier to provide aesthetic scores based on the training set; and,

outputting the classifier trained to provide aesthetic scores.

REMARKS

The application is believed to be in condition for allowance because the claims are novel over the cited art. The following paragraphs provide the justification for these beliefs. In view of the following reasoning for allowance, the applicant hereby respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration of the subject application.

The Section 112, Second Paragraph Rejection of Claims 1-8, 13-21 and 25-29.

Claims 1-8, 13-21 and 25-29 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The applicant has modified Claims 1 and 19 in response to this rejection and respectfully disagrees with this rejection as to Claims 13-21 and 25-29.

As to Claims 1 and 19, the Examiner contended that the use of "training a classifier"